

**MINUTES
PINE TREE WATER CONTROL DISTRICT
LANDOWNERS MEETING
HELD TUESDAY, JUNE 22, 2026
AT TOWN OF LOXAHATCHEE GROVES
155 F ROAD
LOXAHATCHEE, FLORIDA 33470**

Pursuant to the foregoing notice, a meeting was held for the Pine Tree Water Control District Board of Supervisors on Monday June 22, 2026 at 6:00 PM at Town of Loxahatchee Groves located at 155 F Road, Loxahatchee, Florida 33470.

Members present were: Kerry Hitt, Chairman
 Charles Brown, Supervisor
 Ruben Ferrazzano, Supervisor

1. Call the meeting to order

The meeting was called to order by Kerry Hitt, Chairman, on Monday, June 22, 2026 at 6:01 pm.

2. Consent of Agenda

Motion made by Ruben Ferrazzano to accept the minutes for April 14, 2025 and second by Kerry Hitt.
Motion (3-0)

Kerry Hitt: We have two items that we're going to look at doing tonight as landowners, is a vote for Supervisor seat and then the second is a vote for Pine Tree water control district becoming dependent to Wellington.

Ruben Ferrazzano nominated Mr. Hitt to serve as chairman of the meeting and the Landowners unanimously voted Mr. Hitt to serve as chairman of the Landowners meeting.

Ruben Ferrazzano nominated Betty Camplin to serve as Secretary of the meeting and Charles Brown second the nomination. Unanimous.

It was determined there is a quorum present.

Kerry Hitt announced his term is expiring at this meeting.

Kerry Hitt opened the floor for nominations for supervisor.

Mauricio Urbina nominates Becky Urbina
Chris Wallace nominated Kerry Hitt (It was posted online)

Motion and second from the floor that the nominations be closed.

We probably should get through this fairly quick, but anybody who has anything that they need to say or want to say, there'll be a 3 min rule

The ballots were passed out and explanation of the voting process were explained by the Mr. Schoech, attorney. If the assessments for last year are not paid, then the landowner is not allowed to vote by Florida statute. But if they are holding proxies of people who have paid their assessments, those proxies can be voted. And it's one vote per acre.

Put the Landowners name and address on the ballot and PCN number if you can.

Is anybody on Zoom, is that you're saying? Yeah, it's Edison and Cecilia.

Discussion on the procedure of nominating the candidates at the meeting and voting on them at the same meeting. Mr. Schoech says the nominees can speak but it is not necessary for them give a presentation and that it's up to the Landowners if they want this. Typically, you already know who the people are in your community. There is no provision in the statute for or against it.

Lorri Lomnitzer: So, I was reviewing the statute and according to 298.11 requires that the supervisor be a resident of Florida. Kerry, are you resident?

Kerry Hitt: I own property in Rustic Ranches.

Lorri Lomnitzer: Where's your primary residency?

Kerry Hitt: I live in Pennsylvania.

Lorri Lomnitzer: Okay. So, according to 298.11 You're not qualified to be a candidate.

Ted Brinkman: You have to live on the land to vote?

Kerry Hitt: I own it. I own it. I've owned it. But I'm not... I've owned it, and I've been here. I have been here for 15 years.

Lorri Lomnitzer: I'm reading the statute right now. Owners of the land in the district and residents of the county. Domicile means your primary permanent home. Not just a second home or a vacation home.

Mr. Schoech: And the legislation for the Pine Tree Water Control District states and it doesn't really clarify the issue. In accordance with the provisions of the Board of Supervisors of the Pine Tree Water Control District in Palm Beach County shall be qualified and elected in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 298 Florida statutes. Provided, however, at least one of the members of the Board of Supervisors shall be a legal resident of Rustic Ranches in addition to the other qualifications provided for Chapter 298 and the qualifications for Chapter 298 means he has to be domiciled, meaning his primary residence, not a secondary home.

Mr. Schoech: And this looks like it may have been an attempt to allow for owners who are not residents to be elected, but that's not what it does. So, I would agree the statute says you have to be a resident.

Lorri Lomnitzer: So, I guess we have one person that's getting elected today or nominated today.

Chris Wallace: We can nominate a second person.

Judy Phalen: I make a motion to reopen the nominations based on the current release information we just received.

Lorri Lomnitzer: The nominations have already been closed and we voted.

Lorri Lomnitzer: You're going to have a candidate that's not duly nominated because he's not allowed to be on the board. I'm sorry it's not proper.

Chris Wallace: Yes, I'll nominate myself, Chris Wallace, for supervisor.

Mr. Schoech: We're going to have to back up a little bit. Because we had nominations, the nominations were closed. Now we find out that one of the nominees is not a resident. You will have to have a motion to reopen in light of the newly discovered evidence. You'll have to have a vote of the landowners. You first have to have the motion to reopen the nominations in light of the information that Mr. Hitt is not qualified. And that motion has to pass. And if it passes, then you can have additional nominations.

Unknown Landowner: What's the definition of pass?

Mr. Schoech: Majority of the landowners vote in favor of it. So, we'll need a motion from the floor to reopen the nominations in light of what happened.

Judy Phalen: In light of the current information and in accordance with the Florida statutes and the discovery that one of our nominees is not a full-time resident in Rustic Ranches, I make a motion that the nominations be reopened so that we may nominate other people if there are other people interested in the position.

Mr. Schoech: Is there a second?

Yes, yes.

Mr. Schoech: All those in favor?

Mr. Schoech: Opposed?

Mr. Schoech: We're going to have to count, because this is a by acreage vote, so we're gonna have to give them ballots to be able to vote again and count those ballots.

Jenny Carlson: What am I supposed to write on here? Because I'm not cleared, like he said it was for reopening the vote, but this one says for supervisor. What are we supposed to put on here?

Mr. Schoech: Put on there that reopening the nominations.

Jenny Carlson: So, strike the yellow part that says choice for supervise and say for reopening.

Resident: Is there a way that we could set up for the future where nominations are made in, let's say, in the April meeting, and then we're voted on in June. Is that something that...

Mr. Schoech: The answer is yes, but you would still have to allow for nominations from the floor at the meeting.

Resident: If there were, but the answer, you could set up a procedure like that. And in order to do that, we have to have a vote, right?

Mr. Schoech: The board of supervisors. They can do that if they want on their own. But you... that would not preclude someone from making a nomination at the meeting.

Betty Camplin: We have 207 yeses and 163.4 no's.

Kerry Hitt: So yes, it's to reopen. Okay, we're going to open the floor for nominations.

Aldo Disorbo: Is questioning the ballots.

Mr. Schoech: Well, we can't check them tonight, but we will check them if you're requesting that they be checked. We will proceed with the meeting and if the proxies are invalid, then it will change the proceeding.

Resident: I nominate Chris Wallace.

Judy Phalen: I want to go back to something he said earlier about the nominees being able to present to the landowners if we so desire. And I desire, I don't know about the rest of you, but I would like to know the people who are going to be nominated if they would stand up for just a minute or two and give us a brief idea of what their vision for Pine Tree is.

Kerry Hitt: Okay, let's do the nominations first, and then we'll go to that secondly.

We have a nomination for Chris Wallace. Are there any other nominations?

Resident: Becky Urbina

Kerry Hitt: I will make a motion the nomination to be closed.

Charles Brown: Second

Kerry Hitt: Okay, Becky, would you like to give a word or two?

Becky Urbina: I think everybody pretty much knows me here. I'm Becky Urbina. I'm on Norris Road. We purchased probably about five years ago now, and my goal is very simple. I want to make sure that the water district is maintained to a good budget. I want to get the swales and the culverts taken care of. And I want to see how we can improve the community not only from just the You know, the swales and whatnot, but the roads too. I'd like to explore that opportunity to see what's available for our neighborhood to make it better.

Kerry Hitt: Okay, thank you.

Kerry Hitt: Okay, Chris

Chris Wallace: I have a question before I was not really prepared to talk about this, but I do have a question. If Kerry is not a resident, or permanent resident and he can't be on the board. How can she be on the board when she doesn't live there?

Kerry Hitt: She's resident in Florida.

Mr. Schoech: Let me read you the statute.

Chris Wallace: All right, that's my mistake. Well, I wasn't really prepared to say anything, but I have always tried to save Rustic Ranches from people who have special interests and are only concerned with their interests. And that will affect everybody here. And I work tirelessly to do that calling people, informing them, and giving them the chance to say what they want and ask any questions that they want. I don't have tunnel vision when it comes to being president of Pine Tree. I did it for 15 years, and I'm willing to do it again. We did a good job then. And I would do a good job now and keep everybody's interest at heart. And take the will of the people of what they wanted instead of just a special interest group.

Kerry Hitt: Thank you, Chris.

Mr. Schoech: And let me clarify the issue about residency. The statute says that the board is comprised of 3 supervisors who are owners of lands within the district. So, they have to one be an owner of land in the district and two residents of the county in which the district is located. It doesn't say the state of Florida. It says a resident of Palm Beach County. Because the district is located in Palm Beach County. And then the enabling legislation says the Board of Supervisors of the Pine Tree Water Control District in Palm Beach County shall be qualified and elected in accordance with the provisions of 298. They have to be a landowner and a resident of Palm Beach County. However, at least one of the members of the board shall be a legal resident of Rustic Ranches. So, if the other two board members are not legal residents of Rustic Ranches. Then if the third board member is not a legal resident of Rustic Ranches, they're not qualified because one has to be. My understanding is that we have board members who are, so the two nominations are eligible to serve on the board.

Kerry Hitt: All right, then we're at the point where we need to vote.

Mr. Schoech: And I apologize for the confusion. I was unaware of the fact that Mr. Hitt was not a resident of the county.

Kerry Hitt: Well, I've done this for 6 years, I wasn't aware of it either. I could have spent my time a whole lot better, I'm sure. But at any rate, here we are. We're going to finish this meeting and then we're going to turn it over to whoever. So, we're at the point where we're going to vote again.

Kerry Hitt: Okay, we have the votes tallied. The vote is 177 for Chris Wallace and 173 for Becky Urbina.

It's been checked, and it's been double checked. What we'll do is a swearing in at the board meeting since I have been elected to run the meeting, I'll finish this meeting. And at the next board meeting, we'll do the swearing in. We're moving down to the annual treasurer's report. Betty, if you could do that, please. Oh, no, wait a minute. Excuse me one second. There is one more thing. I have it written in here. We had this on the other agenda. Mr. Schoech told us that we need to have the dependency vote for Wellington on the other agenda. However, it really has to be done at the landowners meeting. So, at this point, we are going to do a vote for the Pine Tree Water Control District to become a dependent of Wellington. And it's a landowner's vote. So, at this time, we want to do that vote.

Mr. Schoech: And let me, if I can. Currently, the Pine Tree Water Control District is an independent special district. The landowners elect the board members. And the board members run the district and it's not part of the village of Wellington, even though it's within the boundaries of the village of Wellington. A dependent district is a district that is within the boundaries of a municipality, and generally run by the municipality. Typically, the board members are the same as the board, as the elected commissioners for the municipality. ACME Improvement District was an independent district and when the residents and voters of Wellington voted to incorporate, they also voted to have acme become a dependent district of Wellington. So, the Wellington commissioners are the board members of Acme. And Acme is now a dependent district. Because pine tree is created and governed by special act of the legislature. Chapter 209-270, I believe is the number. It's cut off on my page. In order to become a dependent district. It will require first that the landowners want to present to the legislature an amendment to the legislation to make it a dependent district. So that's what the vote is tonight. The vote tonight is not final. If the vote is against becoming a dependent district. It's my understanding that Pine Tree will not pursue becoming a dependent district of Wellington if they vote to pursue becoming a dependent district, it will require that legislation be drafted, presented to the state legislature, the state legislature will then vote on this at their next legislative session in 2027. And that will then, if it passes the legislature, require a referendum vote of Pine Tree residents. So, it's not final until you have the legislature pass legislation amending your current legislation and have a referendum vote. So, the vote tonight is a vote to determine if you want to pursue the legislative change to make Pine tree a dependent district of Wellington, as Acme is a dependent district of Wellington.

Judy Phalen: So, in essence, should we move forward on this and it's accepted by the landowners, does that mean that there will no longer be three board members or will any of those board members be part of the Wellington City Council?

Mr. Schoech: It depends on how that legislation is written. But, typically these three board members will no longer be board members and the five Wellington council members will be the board members.

Judy Phalen: All of the duties and the responsibilities, including the fact that they can tax us. All of those types of activities would then become under the auspices of Wellington?

Mr. Schoech: Correct. It'll still be Pine Tree Water Control District, but it'll be a dependent district of the Village of Wellington.

Judy Phalen: Oh, one last question. Once you do become a dependency. Is it reversible? Or revocable or can you take it back? How does that work?

Mr. Schoech: The answer is yes, it is, but that is highly, highly unlikely because it's going to require Wellington and the 5 commissioners, assuming they are the people in the legislation appointed to be the governing board of Pine Tree to make those decisions, and that's highly unlikely. It's possible, but I would not count on that ever happening.

Judy Phalen: What is it that you know about the relationship between a Pine Tree Water District and a city such as Wellington when they become a dependency that I should know that I'm not knowledgeable enough to know right now.

Mr. Schoech: I don't know that I can answer that question. I can tell you that Loxahatchee Drainage District was an independent district when Loxahatchee Groves Incorporated. Loxahatchee Drainage District became a dependent district Loxahatchee, the municipality. When Wellington incorporated Acme was an independent district and it became a dependent district of Wellington. So, typically when it's a dependent district, the governing board of the municipality governs the water control district and that's the case in both Loxahatchee and ACME.

Kerry Hitt: What I'd like to add to that is some of the advantages that I think Pine Tree would have. First of all, it's going to be run by the City Council of Wellington. There is not going to be any issue of special interest groups coming in and basically hijacking the program. The other thing is we're looking at, we've all gone through this whole thing with the roads. It's been a big pain in everybody's back. Down the line, personally, I would like to see the roads paved. I would like to see the program going. I've made that clear. But I didn't push that forward because I was looking at the overall community and what the people in the community wanted because I felt as though my position was to represent the community. There would be many other opportunities that you would have with the Wellington being at the helm that you wouldn't have with Pine Tree. They have way more clout. There has even been discussion of possibility of pockets of money available for the roads. There are lots of other things that could be done with Wellington at the helm that are going to be way beneficial to Pine Tree. Right now, the roads are being taken care of. I've had no complaints on the roads for months. They're doing a great job at that. I think the relationship with Mr. Barnes and the relationship with the city is going to be beneficial way more beneficial for the people of Pine Tree by doing that. After being here for six years and seeing and sitting through many of long hours, difficult hours I think it's time that it be taken care of. So, that's just my opinion on it. I'm going to be passing that on. I think that's the best way for Pine Tree to go. And that's based on talking to a lot of other people who have also seen these things as I've seen. As far as drainage, I would say absolutely. I do know that there are things that, for instance, there are things that South Florida Water wants to do and needs to do that would help and benefit the program, would benefit Pine Tree. The drainage is going to be better, which... and that's what this is really all about, is the drainage is draining the water. So, I think there's going to be way better opportunity to move ahead. You've got people at Wellington who can handle that, I believe, a whole lot better than the board members can.

Resident: They will raise the taxes

Kerry Hitt: I don't know that we've had some pretty tough taxes based on the... what we've had to assess with the legal fees that we've gone through. I mean, I don't know that.

Ruben Ferrazzano: All the swales done in front of our properties. They were big deep ditches. We all had to pay for that. I don't remember the exact amount. I think it was at least \$2,000 for that one year from everyone. I could be wrong. But we spent a lot of money taking those filling in, because we gave up. All your swales were given up from my dirt and everybody else's dirt that was on Rustic Road when they dug

out the they re-cleaned the ditch. We decided as homeowners to give that dirt back to you guys to fill in your swales. And we had to pay for that. And it wasn't cheap. The first the first road was done by a contractor. Then the rest of the roads were done by Wellington, which saved us a little bit of money.

Kerry Hitt: Wellington has the equipment to do it. They have the... they have the ware to get it done, is what I'm saying. I think it can be that will be improved is my what I thought. All right. Does that answer your question, Judy?

Judy Phalen: Are they still going to be looking at the same things that we currently pay for? Are they going to add some of their own things? They're going to look at... they're going to look at taking care of..

Mr. Schoech: It would be the current things. They cannot add additional powers unless the additional powers are in the legislation when the state passes the special act to change it from an independent to a dependent. So typically, it's drainage and roads.

Kerry Hitt:

It's going to be drainage specific.

Mr. Schoech: My understanding is it's going to continue to stay drainage and roads. Indian Trail, the acreage years ago voted to go to the legislature and have the legislature add parks and recreation, but it required an amendment by the legislature, and then a vote of the people. So, I don't believe that's the case with Wellington, because they have their own recreation and parks program. They don't need it to be part of the special district. So, my understanding is that it's going to be the same drainage and roads.

Chris Wallace: If that was the case, if we became a dependent district of Wellington, maybe two at least here, as with the same standard as they would do in the village.

Kerry Hitt: Yes.

Resident: Just a point of clarification. Where should we become dependent on Wellington. Does that, make this is yes or no question, does that make this board entirely redundant?

Kerry Hitt: Yes.

Mr. Schoech: The board. There will still be a board of supervisors of the Pine Tree Water Control District but it will be not these 3 people, it'll be the Wellington elected commissioners.

Kerry Hitt: Who you vote for.

Mr. Schoech: Yeah, absolutely.

Ruben Ferrazzano: When does legislation, when does it get legislated? Is it once a year?

Mr. Schoech: Well, it'll go to the legislature one time and then be voted on by the by a referendum vote. And it won't go back to the legislature for a change unless there's some reason to change the enabling legislation but I've not seen that ever happen when once a district becomes dependent. I've not seen in 50 years of revision by the legislature.

Kerry Hitt: I need a motion from somebody to move on with the vote.

Ted Brinkman: I make a motion to vote for dependency of Pine Tree to Wellington.

Mr. Schoech: The proxies are still valid. They're for any action that the landowners vote on at this meeting or any continuance of this meeting.

Lorri Lomnitzer: I just asked Betty if she cross-referenced with that list of delinquent landowners, and she did not. So can we do that now to make sure that.

Mr. Schoech: We're in the middle of a vote; we'll have to do it either at the end of the meeting or after the vote is finished.

Lorri Lomnitzer: But their vote shouldn't be counted if they haven't.

Mr. Schoech: if they're delinquent in their taxes. We'll adjust the vote if it's if they're not entitled to vote after the meeting. We need to do one thing at a time.

Lorri Lomnitzer: She should have cross-reference with that list that she had to make sure that their votes aren't tallied. Isn't that the right thing to do?

Kerry Hitt: There are three names. And she looks to see if those three names.

Lorri Lomnitzer: I asked her, she says she did not, ask her.

Mr. Schoech: We will at the end of the meeting. We will definitely do that before we leave here. There was a lot of stuff there. We got pushback, it got pushback. And I acknowledge that there were at that meeting a year ago, some improper proxies, yes, and we want to make sure that's not the case tonight.

Kerry Hitt: Okay, the number of votes for making Pine Tree Water Control District dependent upon Wellington is 10 for no and 3,144 for yes.

Mr. Schoech: If there is a question on a proxy, I'm not going to be able to determine anything without talking to the person who provided the proxy and the person who had the proxy. And I don't know that I can do that tonight.

Kerry Hitt: We need to move forward. The Treasury's report is next. Let's do the treasurer's report, Betty, please.

Betty Camplin presented the Treasurer's report as of June 15th.

Judy Phalen made a motion to accept the Treasurer's report as provided and second by Chris Wallace.

Eric Grainger: Just so just an update coordinated over the last week with Betty regarding some of our annual budgeting numbers to confirm we're on track, which we are. We have been reviewing continuous applications that they've been coming in, and we've also been addressing some other issues related to the pilot project on Norris that we talked about at the last meeting. And you have been coordinating a bit with Wellington on surveying and some other issues as far as research as it relates to documents. So, we have a few outstanding items that we've been working on, but for the most part, everything's on track.

A resident made a motion to accept the annual engineer's report and second by Jenny Carlson.

Kerry Hitt: I guess I just want to say it's been an interesting 6 years and I hope for the best for Rustic Ranches. I hope you guys prevail.

Chris Wallace: I'd like to thank you, Kerry, for everything you've done. You've been an amazing president and a wonderful friend and neighbor. And I know how much hard work you put into it. And I know how much you've taken from it too. But I just wanted to express my appreciation. And I'm sure a lot of people feel that way too.

Kerry Hitt: Thank you. Thank you. Appreciate that. Much appreciated.

Jenny Carlson: Yes. I know you're trying to close out the meeting and I did just have a question myself. We just had our first major rainstorm as we had a rainy season and I did make sure my swales and my tube were whatever, but I have been trail riding around the neighborhood. What is the people who haven't addressed their swales and tube, what is the intent for that work to be completed by?

Ruben Ferrazzano: It's going to get pushed over to the next meeting. I have a list of issues.

Jenny Carlson: No work's going to be completed and built back to the customers before the next meeting.

Ruben Ferrazzano: Well, I need a protocol. And how long and how long do they have to pay it? When do we actually lien it?

Jenny Carlson: Okay, because the letter said you had 30 days to do it or you guys were going to do it. So, I was just curious if you were going to do the work because like we were getting back flooding onto my property.

Aldo DiSorbo: If I don't cut my grass in 30 days, they're just gonna bill me and lien my property. Simple.

Ruben Ferrazzano: And how... and what do we give them? Two days to pay? 30 days to pay?

Jenny Carlson: They don't have to pay... They don't have to pay before you do the work. What do we have all this money for here if it's not to make sure the stuff is working like I understand you need a process to build them back, but my issue is that we had that first rain and the swale was flooding back onto my property, and I know there was another lady who had the same...

Ruben Ferrazzano: We have a huge drainage problem. Well, we need to start dredging.

Jenny Carlson: That's one thing, but I went down my... I trail rode down the road. Half of the tubes are more than half full of dirt, so we just need to block.

Ruben Ferrazzano: That's exactly right.

Jenny Carlson: But your letter said they had to fix it, and they haven't fixed it, so are you guys gonna fix it, and by when, is what I'm asking.

Ruben Ferrazzano: I'm asking, I just need directions. Do we need to put out bids. And how many bids do we need? I can't... I just can't take it upon myself to do what I want to do. It doesn't matter if they don't do it, now what?

Aldo Disorbo: Okay, but if you send out letters tonight. You send out letters and you tell people they got 30 days.

Ruben Ferrazzano: How do we clean those swales; how do we clean the ditches?

Aldo Disorbo: They charge you.

Mauricio Urbina: That's what the board's for to figure it out.

Ruben Ferrazzano: Right, but we have the put out on a bid.

Mauricio Urbina: For the proposal, and then we'll run it by the lawyer, and then we'll approve it.

Ruben Ferrazzano: Do we need a bid from three people? No, you're sitting there saying it's this easy. Do we need, as a board member, need three bids or not?

Aldo Disorbo: I don't think you need bids because my lot and home and homeland, they charged me \$1,000 to cut the grass, which I could have paid somebody \$250, but they hired a company...

Ruben Ferrazzano: But there's a process to doing that.

Kerry Hitt: I think the way it works, and this is one of the big problems that I've had in all the six years I've been here is you and I can't really talk about it, okay, except at the meetings. Okay? So, but what you do is the chairman has the authority to spend up to 25,000, I believe.

Ruben Ferrazzano: Well, we need to dredge out the South Canal. And that's going to be a little more.

Kerry Hitt: Let me finish. In terms of the driveway, you can pick somebody, you can have somebody come in, you can have them do it and charge whatever it is that a contractor charges, the homeowner has to pay. It's not like you've got to get 3 bids.

Ruben Ferrazzano: Talking about canal cleanup. If you clean the canals themselves.

Jenny Carlson: Here's the deal, don't we have an agreement with Wellington. They own a vac truck. They own sewer jetting trucks. We already have an agreement for them to perform work. Maybe you ask them if they can sewer jet it, which is not very different than cleaning out their already have an agreement then you should be able to pay Wellington, whether it's for roads or not, if it's not above the cost in billable back. I'm not the board, I don't know, but I do know the board doesn't need our vote to be able to do business.

Aldo Disorbo: What about the what about the trees and so lots that are pushing in the road?

Ruben Ferrazzano: Exactly. Yeah, no, with that blockage, we've got to place it.

Aldo Disorbo: You gave the 30 days' notice, right?

Ruben Ferrazzano: Yeah.

Aldo Disorbo: Lawyer, you know?

Mr. Schoech: I can't answer questions when I haven't seen any of the documentation. I know nothing about this item. I will be happy to look at it, but I'm not going to answer questions without even knowing any of the facts. I don't know the cost. So, I will sit down with them, we will find out, and I will give them the information they need, but I can't answer that question tonight. This is the first time I've heard of this issue.

Discussion continued.....

Kerry Hitt: I think we're finished with that discussion. I need a motion to adjourn the meeting.

Jenny Carlson made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 8:32 pm and second by Charles Brown.